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ABSTRACT: Steam gasification chars from lignocellulosic agrowastes are highly microporous materials with specific surface areas between 500 and 1000 m²/g, comparable to that of activated carbons. Nevertheless, the analysis and comparison of gasification chars from different feedstocks revealed the important effect of the raw biomass inorganic composition on their physicochemical properties. In particular, it was found that the catalytic effect of alkaline and alkaline earth metals (AAEM) on the steam gasification reactions resulted in higher char specific surface areas, with greater proportions of surface oxygen-containing functional groups. In contrast, this effect was not observed for Si and P rich samples. In accordance, the biomass inorganic ratio K/(Si + P) proved to be a valuable indicator of the steam gasification char reactivity, giving a new insight for the engineering of useful value-added materials from lignocellulosic agrowastes. The mechanisms presented in this work could be an important reference for real gasification applications working with different kind of residues when the valorization of the solid byproduct is targeted.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tropical regions have a great potential for the development of agricultural and agroindustrial activities thanks to their climate and geographic location. Generally, developing countries in these areas base their economy in agriculture and related activities, producing great amounts of agrowastes that usually remain under-exploited. These residues could be valorized as biofuels to meet local energy needs in rural and isolated areas, considering that several communities still lack access to reliable energy services. However, in most cases, the sustainability of valorization projects depends on the creation of related productive activities that go beyond the energy supply, generating new incomes for local communities. In this regard, simultaneous energy and business development opportunities around agrowastes valorization should be considered.

In this context, steam gasification is an interesting thermochemical process, as it produces high heating value fuel gases that can be used for heat or power generation and a porous carbon-based byproduct, also called char, that could be valorized. Nevertheless, as the availability of agrowastes is often seasonal, gasification facilities should work with different kind of residues that have diverse characteristics. In this regard, the understanding of the impact of the feedstock characteristics on the gasification behavior and byproducts is of great importance to properly adapt the process conditions to the application requirements.

The influence of the process parameters on the char properties has been widely analyzed for several lignocellulosic agrowastes. The gasification temperature and time, as well as the reaction atmosphere, are reported to have a very important impact on the char characteristics. Among these parameters, the reaction atmosphere has probably the most important effect on the char porous structure and surface chemistry. In particular, steam gasification chars are comparable to physically activated carbons, with high surface areas and pore volumes and an important amount of surface functional groups. In contrast, air gasification chars usually need to be upgraded by subsequent activation to be used in adsorption or catalytic applications.

In this regard, the impact of the feedstock characteristics on the properties of the gasification chars still needs to be investigated. Different authors have suggested that the macromolecular composition and the ash content of the samples may have an influence on the properties of activated carbons and chars. However, as most studies deal with only one kind of feedstock, the understanding of this question needs to be improved.

Previous works have proven that the composition of the samples impacts their gasification reactivity in an important way. In particular, the inorganic content of the biomass could play a catalytic or an inhibitory role in the progress of the steam gasification reactions. More specifically, alkali and alkaline earth metals (AAEM) like K, Ca, Na, and Mg have a beneficial effect on biomass gasification, which could be inhibited by elements like Al, Si, and P. Nevertheless, the influence of inorganics in the gasification char properties is not clear.

In this work, the influence of the raw biomass characteristics and composition on the physicochemical properties of steam gasification chars is analyzed. Coconut shells (CS), bamboo guadua (BG), and oil palm shells (OPS) were selected as feedstocks, considering their different macromolecular structure and inorganic composition. The evaluation and comparison of the surface area, pore structure, and surface chemistry of chars revealed the important impact of the indigenous...
an inorganic elements on the gasification reactivity and the resulting structure and chemistry of chars.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials. The chars obtained from the steam gasification of oil palm shells (OPS), coconut shells (CS), and bamboo guadua (BG) were characterized and analyzed in this study. The chemical organic and inorganic composition of the raw materials were determined according to the standards of solid biofuels, detailed in a previous work,\(^\text{18,22}\) and are summarized in Table 1.

2.2. Gasification Experimental Setup. Steam gasification experiments were carried out in a semi continuous lab-scale fluidized bed gasifier. The reactor, with 60 cm height and 6 cm of internal diameter, is made of stainless steel and is externally heated using electrical resistances. A porous disk at the bottom of the reactor holds the biomass sample and allows the gasification atmosphere to pass through. The nitrogen gas flow supplied to the process is regulated by a calibrated mass flow controller. For its part, steam is supplied by a flow controller and a flow supplied to the process is regulated by a calibrated mass flow controller. For its part, steam is supplied by a flow controller and a steam generator equipped with a water mass flow controller and a heating device producing superheated steam at 180 °C.

For all the experiments, 80 g of ground biomass (particle size between 2 and 4 mm) were placed inside the reactor and heated to the gasification temperature (750 or 850 °C) at a heating rate of 20 °C/min under nitrogen. When the gasification temperature was reached, the atmosphere was switched to a mixture of H₂O/N₂ (from 15% to 90% of steam in the gasifying agent, 50 to 300 g/h of steam) and was maintained during all the gasification stage (t from 1 to 3 h). The total flow rate was 0.7 m³/h for all the experiments. After each test, the reactor was cooled to room temperature under nitrogen. The remaining char was collected, weighted, and stored for subsequent characterization. Pyrolysis-only tests were performed to determine the solid yield at the end of the heating period (pyrolysis stage) for each gasification temperature. To verify reproducibility, tests were carried out twice. The repeatability was found to be satisfactory as the standard deviation of the solid yield was below 3%.

2.3. Char Burn-off and Gasification Reactivity. For each steam gasification test, the char burn-off achieved at the end of the experiment was calculated according to eq 1:

\[
\text{burn-off (\%) } = \frac{m_0 - m_t}{m_0} \times 100
\]

where \(m_0\) is the mass of the sample at the beginning of the gasification stage, determined from the pyrolysis-only tests, and \(m_t\) is the mass of the remaining char at the end of the experiment, on a dry ash free basis.

Likewise, from the measurement of the remaining char at the end of each gasification experiment, the average gasification reactivity of the samples can be calculated for different gasification times. The degree of conversion of the char after the gasification stage is defined as in eq 2:

\[
\alpha(t) = \frac{m_0 - m(t)}{m_0 - m_{\text{ash}}}
\]

where \(m_{\text{ash}}\) is the mass of the sample at the beginning of the gasification stage, \(m(t)\) the mass at the end of the gasification period \(t\), and \(m_{\text{ash}}\) the mass of ash in the sample.

The apparent gasification reactivity can be then defined as a function of the conversion degree \(\alpha\), as presented in eq 3. Generally, reactivity comparisons are referred to a specific char conversion level. However, as the continuous monitoring of the mass loss of each biomass is not possible for the presented experimental setup, the gasification reactivity is presented in this study as an average for a defined gasification time and is calculated according to eq 4, where \(t\) is the time of the steam gasification stage, from 1 to 3 h.

\[
R(\alpha)_{\text{app}} = \frac{1}{\frac{1 - \alpha(t)\ dt}{dt}}
\]

\[
R(\alpha)_{\text{app average}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{1 - \alpha(t)\ dt}{dt}
\]

2.4. Char Characterization. 2.4.1. Proximate Analysis and Elemental Composition. The elemental analysis (CHNS) of the collected chars was determined using a Thermoquest NA 2000 elemental analyzer. To determine their inorganic composition, 150 mg of ground char (with a particle size below 500 μm) was acid digested in closed vessels at 220 °C during 4 h. Acid reagents H₂O₂, HNO₃, HF, and H₃BO₃ were used according to EN 16967. Acid solutions were diluted with demineralized water to 50 mL and analyzed using an HORIBA Jobin Yvon Ultima 2 inductively coupled plasma–optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES). For its part, the ash content of the samples was calculated according to EN ISO 18122. All analyses were performed with at least three replicates.

2.4.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). The morphology of the chars was observed using a Hitachi TM3030 Plus tabletop scanning electron microscope with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV. The local chemical composition at a microscale was determined by using the energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) module in the same apparatus. For its part, elemental mapping of chemical species in the samples was also performed using a JEOL JEM-ARM2200F transmission electron microscope with an integrated EDX module.

\[\text{Table 1. Organic and Inorganic Composition of the Raw Biomasses}^\text{a}\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CS</th>
<th>BG</th>
<th>OPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>elemental analysis (wt % daf)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>46.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>42.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>46.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O*</td>
<td>47.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>51.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>46.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ash</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inorganic composition (mg kg⁻¹ dry biomass)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>261.6 ± 8</td>
<td>243.4 ± 3</td>
<td>1500.0 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>391.4 ± 7</td>
<td>441.3 ± 9</td>
<td>53.8 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>159.8 ± 2</td>
<td>116.0 ± 1</td>
<td>107.4 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>2807.8 ± 4</td>
<td>5360.1 ± 8</td>
<td>10065.6 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>170.4 ± 15</td>
<td>172.7 ± 1</td>
<td>135.2 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>330 ± 1</td>
<td>200 ± 0.8</td>
<td>15 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>396.7 ± 4</td>
<td>828.6 ± 6</td>
<td>270.0 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>309.3 ± 4</td>
<td>19372.1 ± 354</td>
<td>5600.0 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>molecular composition (wt % daf)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cellulose</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemicellulose</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lignin</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\text{Calculated by difference.}\)
clearly determine the content of each particular functional group from geometric local environment. Consequently, it may be difficult to discriminate between different types of functional groups using Gaussian functions centered at 250 ± 50 °C and 380 ± 50 °C for carboxylic groups, 500 ± 50 °C for peroxides, and 620 ± 50 °C for quinone groups. These assignments are made based on the electronic charge density on the char surface is zero (pHPZC) was measured using a Malvern Pananalitical Zetasizer NanoZS. Char samples were ground into powder with a particle size below 250 μm. About 15 mg of sample was used for each test with an approximate sample concentration of 0.5 mg/mL. Measurements were taken at room temperature within the pH range from 2 to 11, adjusted by the addition of 0.25 M HCl or 0.1 M NaOH solution.

2.3. Char Pore Structure and Specific Surface Area. The pore structure of gasification chars was determined by nitrogen adsorption at 77 K using a Micromeritics 3Flex high-resolution analyzer. Prior to measurements, the samples were degassed in vacuum at 90 °C during 1 h and then at 150 °C during 10 h. The surface area was calculated from the adsorption isotherms using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) model. The t-plot model was used to determine the micropore volume of the samples.

2.4. Surface Oxygen-Containing Functional Groups (SOFG). The oxygen-containing functional groups in the char surface were determined using temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) analysis. Experiments were carried out in a Micrometrics AutoChem II chemisorption analyzer. First, 150 mg of char was placed in a quartz U-tube and heated under a helium atmosphere. The sample was kept at 150 °C for 1 h and then was heated to 1000 °C with a heating rate of 5 °C/min. The concentration of CO and CO₂ released was quantitatively analyzed using a MyGC Agilent micro-GC. The repeatability of the TPD tests was found to be satisfactory with a maximum calculated standard deviation below 10%.

The obtained CO and CO₂ desorption curves were deconvoluted to determine the contribution of each type of oxygen complex. The procedure used in this work is based on the TPD spectra analysis proposed by Zhou et al., using six Gaussian peaks for the deconvolution of the CO desorption curve and six peaks for the CO₂ curve. Regarding the peak assignment, it has been generally stated that the CO₂ desorption at low temperatures is associated to carboxylic acids, while lactones decomposition results in CO₂. The obtained CO and CO₂ desorption curves were deconvoluted using functions centered at 400 ± 50 °C for acid anhydrides, 620 ± 50 °C for hydroxyl groups, 740 ± 50 °C for phenol groups, 860 ± 50 °C for ether groups, and 900 ± 50 °C and 970 ± 50 °C for quinone and pyrone groups, respectively. A constraint over the peak width (±5 °C) was used for the optimization of the curve fitting. The fitting error found between the experimental and the deconvoluted curve was always below 8%.

2.4.5. pH at the Point of Zero-Charge (pHPZC). The pH at which the electrical charge density on the char surface is zero (pHPZC) was measured using a Malvern Pananalitical Zetasizer NanoZS. Char samples were ground into powder with a particle size below 250 μm. About 15 mg of sample was used for each test with an approximate sample concentration of 0.5 mg/mL. Measurements were taken at room temperature within the pH range from 2 to 11, adjusted by the addition of a 0.25 M HCl or 0.1 M NaOH solution.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Composition of Gasification Char C. During experimental tests, the steam fraction in the gasification agent (H₂O/N₂) was modified from 15% to 90%. However, considering that the gasification process analysis showed that a steam fraction near 30% is associated with the highest process efficiency values, the analysis and comparison of steam gasification chars from the selected precursors will be focused in chars produced with a 30% steam fraction. Gasification chars obtained using a 90% steam fraction are also presented for comparison purposes.

In this regard, Table 2 reports the elemental composition of the gasification chars analyzed in the present study. It can be seen that CS and OPS gasification chars are highly carbonaceous materials with a carbon content above 80%. For their part, BG chars, with a higher ash content, exhibit lower carbon contents from 70% to 36%.

The mineral composition of gasification chars is in accordance with the analyzed raw biomasses. As observed in Table 2, the main inorganic constituents of CS chars are K, Ca, and Na, considering that raw CS contains non-negligible amounts of these elements. In the same way, BG and OPS...
chars show great amounts of Si, taking into account that this is the main inorganic constituent of the original samples. Considering that a certain amount of minerals may volatilize during the gasification process,\textsuperscript{25,26} the observed mineral retention level of the analyzed chars with respect to the raw biomass is between 20% and 90%. In general, higher temperatures and longer gasification times are related to lower mineral retention percentages, in accordance with the higher solid conversion levels reached at the end of the gasification process.

The dispersion of minerals in the char surface are presented in the SEM-EDX micrographs in Figure 1 for 1 h gasification chars obtained at 850 °C with 30% of steam in the gasifying agent. SEM-EDX images are in agreement with the information obtained from the ICP-OES results and show that minerals in the CS chars are well dispersed in the surface. In general, K and Na were the dominant elements detected, with some traces of Ca. Furthermore, Si was the main element observed in OPS chars, as well as some Ca and K. Finally, SEM-EDX analyses confirmed the higher mineral content of BG chars. In this case, Si was detected in almost all of the char surface, probably as SiO\textsubscript{2}, or associated with K.

3.2. Char Yield and Burn-off. For the three feedstocks, the recovered char at the end of the gasification process was reduced with the increase of the steam fraction in the gasifying agent, the temperature, and the gasification time. The impact of these parameters on the gasification behavior of CS, BG, and OPS was analyzed in a previous work at a thermogravimetric scale\textsuperscript{18} and is in accordance with the results obtained in this study. More specifically, higher gasification temperatures and
steam quantities are related to higher reactivities and then to higher carbon conversions and lower char yields. In the same way, longer gasification times also allow higher carbon conversions, resulting in less recovered char at the end of the experiment.

In general, the impact of the process parameters on the solid yield and burn-off is the same for the three studied biomasses. However, for the same experimental conditions, the calculated char burn-off is always considerably higher for CS in comparison to BG and OPS, as observed in Figure 2. This behavior is supported by the results of the calculated average steam gasification reactivity of the samples, summarized in Table 3. It is worth noting that these values are in accordance with the results obtained at a thermogravimetric scale and presented in a previous work for the same feedstocks. In fact, regardless of the gasification temperature, the average reactivity values calculated for CS were always higher compared to those for BG and OPS. For instance, at 850 °C, the BG and OPS reactivities correspond to only 51% and 14% of the CS value, respectively, explaining the lower solid yield and higher char burn-off observed for CS under the same experimental conditions.

Despite the differences on the macromolecular composition of the selected biomasses, it was possible to identify from the experimental results that the main factor influencing the steam gasification reactivity of lignocellulosic samples is their inorganic composition. In particular, the CS main inorganic constituents are K and Ca, which are reported to have a catalytic impact on the steam gasification reactions, while OPS and BG are mainly composed of Si and P, which tend to react with AAEM and inhibit their beneficial effect. Accordingly, considering that K has been reported to have the most important impact on steam gasification reactivity among AAEM, the inorganic ratio K/(Si + P) was employed in this work to analyze and compare the effect of inorganic composition on the properties of the biomass gasification carbon-based solid byproduct. CS with an inorganic ratio of 3.9 (K/(Si + P) > 1) has a higher proportion of K in relation to Si and P and follows a catalytic gasification behavior. In contrast, BG and OPS with inorganic ratios of 0.2 and 0.17, respectively, (K/(Si + P) < 1) have a higher proportion of Si and P that inhibit the beneficial impact of AAEM (principally K), explaining the differences observed between the char burn-off evolution of CS in comparison to BG and OPS. In agreement with this, the TEM-EDX cartography analysis of BG gasification char is presented in Figure 3. It is possible to observe that elements like K and Ca, with potential catalytic impact on steam gasification, may be associated with Si, supporting the observed low gasification reactivity of this sample despite its relatively high K content.

### Table 3. Calculated Mean Gasification Reactivity during 3 h in a 30% Steam Atmosphere

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>temperature</th>
<th>mean reactivity (%/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>BG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750 °C</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850 °C</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Char Specific Surface Area and Pore Structure.

From the N2 adsorption experiments, it was possible to notice that for the analyzed samples, an increase in the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller surface area (S_{BET}) was observed with the char burn-off. In fact, the progress of gasification reactions is related to the consumption of carbon atoms with the subsequent formation of pores in the char structure. This is the basis of the production of activated carbon through physical activation with steam, attributed mainly to Reaction R1:

$$C + H_2O \rightarrow CO + H_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (R1)

In this regard, for the three feedstocks a linear increase in the S_{BET} was observed with the char burn-off for values below 60%, as presented in Figure 4. The maximum surface areas attained were 1041.8 m²/g for CS, 1014.0 m²/g for OPS, and 807.7 m²/g for BG, comparable to physically activated carbons. The subsequent decline may be due to the weakening and collapse of the char structure due to the progress of the gasification reactions.

For burn-off degrees below 30%, CS chars developed nearly 35% more surface area compared to BG and OPS chars. In contrast, above 30%, the OPS surface area approached the CS

![Figure 3. TEM-EDX cartographic images of BG char. Conditions: 1 h gasification, 850 °C, 30% steam in the gasifying agent.](image-url)
char values. The behavior observed at low burn-off degrees may be attributed to the differences in the gasification reactivity of the samples, while the results obtained for higher burn-off degrees could be related to the raw biomass structure and the ash content of chars. In particular, the high ash content of BG chars (higher than 30%) may explain the lower surface area development in comparison to CS and OPS for burn-off degrees above 30%.40

Nevertheless, it is worth mentioning that due to the differences in the gasification reactivity of the samples, the burn-off degree attained is not the same under identical experimental conditions, and then, the developed char surface area is also different. From Table 4, it can be observed that the CS char $S_{\text{BET}}$ value is always higher in comparison to those of similarly produced BG and OPS chars. As stated previously, two trends related to the inorganic composition of the samples can be identified. Under the same conditions, feedstocks with inorganic ratio $K/(\text{Si + P})$ above 1 follow a catalytic gasification behavior and develop a higher $S_{\text{BET}}$ compared to samples with $K/(\text{Si + P})$ below 1.

Experimental results also showed that chars obtained at burn-off degrees below 30% are highly microporous, with at least 85% of their $S_{\text{BET}}$ attributed to micropores. In contrast, for higher burn-off degrees, the microporosity of BG is reduced to 70%, while CS and OPS continue to show micropore percentages above 87% and 82%, respectively. In fact, BG chars showed a higher development of mesopores with the progress of the gasification process, in comparison to CS and OPS. These differences may be related to the structure of the raw materials and their initial macromolecular composition. In fact, BG is a fibrous grass with high hemicellulose and cellulose content (>50%).41 Therefore, the thermal decomposition of these components during the pyrolysis stage may generate a more open char structure, resulting in a higher proportion of mesopores in the char. In contrast, CS and OPS are high-lignin endocarp feedstocks.42,43

As reported by Rodriguez-Reinoso,44 the pore development process during char gasification begins with the creation of micropores followed by their subsequent widening and then the creation of new pores. In this regard, a less compact structure as in the case of BG facilitates the access of steam into the char structure and the widening of the existing pores, developing mesopores and macropores. Unlike some reported studies that attribute the development of macro- and mesopores to the lignin content of the feedstock,17,35 in the present study, the highest development of mesoporosity was observed for the samples with the highest hemicellulose and cellulose content.

In general terms, it can be said that the steam gasification of the analyzed feedstocks produces a highly microporous solid byproduct with surface areas from 500 to 1000 m²/g, which is close to literature-reported activated carbon values35 or values for gasification chars produced under similar conditions.13

### 3.4. Char Surface Oxygen-Containing Functional Groups

The thermal programmed desorption (TPD) analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Char burn-off (%)</th>
<th>$S_{\text{BET}}$ (m²/g)</th>
<th>$S_{\text{micro}}$ (m²/g)</th>
<th>$V_{\text{micro}}$ (cm³/g)</th>
<th>CO₂ (mmol/g)</th>
<th>CO (mmol/g)</th>
<th>Total (mmol/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CS_30%_750 °C_1h</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>631.52</td>
<td>550.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS_30%_750 °C_2h</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>772.30</td>
<td>684.29</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS_30%_750 °C_3h</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>884.00</td>
<td>779.00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS_30%_850 °C_1h</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>1041.83</td>
<td>898.90</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS_30%_850 °C_2h</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>1032.60</td>
<td>952.01</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG_30%_750 °C_1h</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>544.97</td>
<td>468.90</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG_30%_750 °C_2h</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>560.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG_30%_750 °C_3h</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>648.20</td>
<td>539.45</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG_30%_850 °C_1h</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>776.00</td>
<td>687.67</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG_30%_850 °C_2h</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>723.59</td>
<td>508.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG_30%_850 °C_3h</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS_30%_750 °C_1h</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>490.00</td>
<td>450.92</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS_30%_750 °C_2h</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>504.20</td>
<td>476.40</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS_30%_750 °C_3h</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>529.90</td>
<td>503.07</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS_30%_850 °C_1h</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>667.40</td>
<td>550.00</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS_30%_850 °C_2h</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>776.00</td>
<td>687.67</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS_30%_850 °C_3h</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>931.00</td>
<td>830.00</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS_30%_850 °C_4h</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>1014.00</td>
<td>883.00</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*N₂ adsorption test did not yield acceptable results, possibly due to the high ash content of the sample.
of the chars confirmed the existence of a certain amount of oxygen-containing functional groups in their surface (SOFG). For all the tested conditions, the CO and CO₂ desorption of CS chars was higher compared to that of OPS and BG, suggesting the presence of a higher amount of SOFG. This result is consistent with the steam gasification reactivity of the samples, since CS reactivity is considerably higher than OPS and BG. In accordance, under the same conditions, the steam gasification reactions proceed faster, resulting in higher char conversions and activation degrees.

The observed differences between the three char samples were reduced with the increase of the steam percentage in the gasifying agent, as a greater steam quantity was available to react with the carbon matrix. As presented in Figure 5, with 30% of steam in the gasifying agent, for the BG and OPS chars the CO and CO₂ desorption was 53% and 64% lower than that of CS, respectively. In contrast, with 90% of steam this difference was reduced to 29% and 31%, respectively.

Even with an increase in the gasification temperature and time, CS chars show a greater amount of SOFG, as seen in Figure 6. At 850 °C, an augmentation in the gasification time from 1 to 2 h resulted in an increase of 60% in the CO and CO₂ desorption of CS chars. In contrast, this trend is not observed for BG and OPS. Likewise, for 1 h gasification, the gas desorption of CS is 30% and 65% higher in comparison to BG and OPS, respectively.

As presented in Table 4, for the analyzed chars, the maximum total CO and CO₂ desorption observed was 2.32 and 0.98 mmol/g for CS and BG, respectively, at 850 °C and 30% of steam in the gasifying agent, and 0.8 mmol/g for OPS at 750 °C and 90% of steam. These values, which are comparable to those of literature-reported activated carbons from lignocellulosic precursors, confirm the potential of steam gasification chars as value-added byproducts for different applications, such as inorganic and organic pollutants adsorption, heavy metals removal, gas storage, or soil remediation applications.

It is important to note that for the analyzed conditions, even at the same burn-off degree, the observed CO and CO₂ desorption of chars was always in the order CS < BG < OPS. This trend is most likely associated with the reactivity of the samples and particularly to the inorganic composition of the raw materials. As discussed earlier, CS is an AAEM-rich feedstock, while the main inorganic constituents of BG and OPS are Si and P. More precisely, the defined inorganic ratio K/(Si + P) of the raw samples is 3.9, 0.2, and 0.17, respectively, following the order CS < BG < OPS.

A detailed analysis of the CO and CO₂ desorption curves showed that the surface of the gasification chars contains an important proportion of acidic SOFG. In particular, the presence of carboxylic acids, peroxides, lactones, and phenols was identified. Furthermore, ether, quinone, and pyrone-like functional groups, reported to have a basic and neutral character, were also observed.

The TPD results regarding the SOFG speciation of the analyzed chars are in accordance with literature-reported characteristics of biochars and activated carbons from lignocellulosic precursors, obtained using TPD or other characterization techniques like FTIR and acid/base titration. In particular, the introduction of acidic groups (e.g., carboxylic acids, phenols, etc.) in the char surface has been associated by several authors to their steam treatment or activation. These characteristics are in line with the gasification conditions, considering that steam was used as the gasifying agent.

In agreement with this, the measured pH_{PZC} of all the analyzed samples is in the acidic region, with values between 2 and 3, suggesting the dominance of acidic groups over basic
groups in the char surface.\textsuperscript{60,61} No particular trends were observed with the gasification conditions, and no remarkable differences were identified between the three selected precursors. In this regard, as the pH\textsubscript{PZC} represents the pH value at which the net surface of the char is 0, the low measured pH\textsubscript{PZC} indicates that steam gasification chars may be suitable for applications where the adsorption or retention of cations is required, such as in heavy metals removal or soil remediation applications.\textsuperscript{62−64}

3.5. Relationship between Char Structure and Surface Chemistry. From the experimental results, it was possible to establish a relationship between the char structure and surface chemistry. More specifically, it was noticed that under the same gasification conditions, higher S\textsubscript{BET} are also associated with a greater content of SOFG, as presented in Figure 7.

The observed correlation can be explained by the fact that the progress of the steam gasification reactions remove carbon atoms from the char matrix, developing a porous structure and including, at the same time, oxygen-containing surface complexes.\textsuperscript{37,65} Once again, the inorganic composition of the raw biomass could play an important role in the development of SOFG, as it impacts the steam gasification reactivity. The enhanced rate of gasification reactions produced by AAEM and some transition metals may be attributed to the enhanced rate of formation of chemisorbed oxygen in the char matrix.\textsuperscript{34} This could explain the higher CO and CO\textsubscript{2} desorption observed for CS.

In this regard, the inorganic content of the raw biomass impacts not only its gasification rate but also the properties of the gasification solid byproduct. For samples with an inorganic ratio K/(Si + P) above 1, the beneficial impact of AAEM (especially K) on the steam gasification reactions resulted also in higher S\textsubscript{BET} and SOFG, in comparison to samples with inorganic ratio below 1.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, the influence of the raw biomass characteristics and composition on the physicochemical properties of steam gasification chars is analyzed. Coconut shells (CS), bamboo guadua (BG), and palm oil shells (OPS) were selected as feedstocks, considering their different macromolecular structure and inorganic composition.

The experimental results showed that inorganics have an important impact on the gasification reactivity of the samples and, in consequence, an influence on the porous structure development and surface chemistry of the gasification chars. For samples with inorganic ratio K/(Si + P) above 1, the beneficial impact of AAEM (specially K) in the steam gasification reactions resulted also in higher surface area development and oxygen-containing functional groups in the char surface, in comparison to samples with inorganic ratio below 1. For its part, the pore size distribution of the chars seems to have a relation with the nature and the macromolecular composition of the raw feedstocks.

The observations and mechanisms presented in this work could be an important reference for real gasification applications working with different kinds of residues, when a simultaneous valorization of the gaseous and solid byproducts is searched. This opens a new approach to properly adapt the gasification parameters and conditions according to the nature and composition of the available feedstocks and according to the required byproducts proportion and characteristics. In relation to gasification chars, the presented results provide a new insight for the engineering of useful value-added materials from agrowastes.
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