Elaboration of a bioclimatic house in the humid tropical region: Case of the town of Douala-Cameroon
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\textbf{Abstract}

Modelling and simulation of a habitat sample lead to a model which the average resultant dry temperature is of 28.30 °C at the peak hours during the periods of occupation of the house. This result is within the acceptable and tolerable thermal comfort zone in humid tropical climate. It thus arises from this study, that housing with the following specifications: cement floor, wall with mixed hollow concrete block and wood plank, and roof with aluminium sheet is thermally well adapted for the Douala climate. Optimization of the thermal behaviour of this housing consists of bringing vegetation around it in order to reduce the thermal loads due to solar heat gain, improving the air replacement and taking into account the thermal inertia of its walls.

1. Introduction

Cameroonianians generally use local materials available in their region of residence to build their houses. A survey from the National Institute of Statistics of Cameroon (I.N.S. & ECAM II) \cite{1} showed that three quarters of household live in houses with walls made in provisional materials like wood plank, rough soil brick, straw mat. This survey also showed that the same proportion live under the threshold of poverty.

In poor developing countries, where building a house is very expensive and where energy is rare and expensive, there is a strong need to develop a policy for construction of sustainable residential building.

The aim of this work was to elaborate a mathematical model of a sustainable residential house in the town of Douala in Cameroon that would integrate a thermal comfort goal in its design and realization. The present paper describes the thermal comfort factors as described and studied in this work, an analysis of residential housing in Douala, results of simulations and discussion.

2. Thermal comfort conditions in humid climate

The opinions of some Cameroonianians have been surveyed on the ambient comfort of their non-air-conditioned houses \cite{2}. Physical parameters of ambient air in their housings were simultaneously measured. Regression curves between temperature and relative humidity of air and their own judgement. This investigation showed that Cameroonianians seem to endure warmer ambient air conditions than people from Northern countries (Americans, and Danish) whom opinions have been used to establish ergonomic norms ISO 7730 and ASHRAE 55-81 \cite{2}.

Results obtained in buildings non-air-conditioned allowed to deduce that a suitable design of housing could make the air-conditioning systems useless \cite{3}.

Acceptable and tolerable thermal comfort ranges have been found and can be used to design sustainable houses in humid tropical zone for three towns in Cameroon (Yaounde, Douala and Bafoussam) \cite{4}. These conditions are grouped in Table 1 \cite{2}.

It should be noted that the ideal conditions for sustainable housing design “bioclimatic house” will be to obtain temperature in the optimal comfort zone in humid tropical climate ($T_{\text{top}} = 28.1$ °C for the town of Douala) \cite{2}.

In this present study, we will take in consideration a fundamental factor to characterize the concept of thermal comfort: the dry resultant temperature, which is the essential criteria of the comfort defined by A. Missenard by the following relation:

\[ T_{\text{rs}} = \frac{T_{\text{rm}} + T_{\text{air}} \sqrt{10W}}{1 + \sqrt{10W}}. \] (1)

We will also take into consideration the velocity of air (\textit{W}) that plays an important role in the feeling of comfort by people. This parameter controls the heat flux between the body and the ambient. The upper and lower limits applied for air velocities are: 1.5 m/s for sensation and 0.2 m/s at the beginning \cite{5}.
Thermal comfort zone for some Cameroonian towns.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Yaounde</th>
<th>Douala</th>
<th>Bafoussam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>25.1 °C ≤ T₀ ≤ 29.5 °C</td>
<td>- T₀ ≤ 29.4 °C</td>
<td>23.3 °C ≤ T₀ ≤ 28.2 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thermal zone</td>
<td>H₀ = 72% with 25.1 °C</td>
<td>H₀ = 71% with 29.4 °C</td>
<td>H₀ = 69.7% with 23.3 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H₁ = 51.4% with 29.5 °C</td>
<td>H₁ = 63.7% with 29.4 °C</td>
<td>H₁ = 37.2% with 28.2 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bearable thermal comfort zone</td>
<td>24.5 °C ≤ T₀ ≤ 30.5 °C</td>
<td>20.7 °C ≤ T₀ ≤ 30.4 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(satisfaction index = 70%)</td>
<td>H₀ = 74.7% with 24.5 °C</td>
<td>H₀ = 67.8% with 20.7 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H₁ = 45.5% with 30.5 °C</td>
<td>H₁ = 71% with 29.4 °C</td>
<td>H₁ = 22.6% with 30.4 °C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Analysis of existing types of housing in the town of Douala

Studies carried on by J.N.S. & ECAM II [1] on the types of houses in Douala enabled to classify housings according to the building materials used.

3.1. Distribution of Cameroonian housings

The distribution of building materials used (roof, wall, floor) in Cameroonian housings is showed in Table 2 [1].
### Table 2
Building materials distribution in the littoral region (Douala).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials (%)</th>
<th>Roof</th>
<th>Wall</th>
<th>Floor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cement 1.3%</td>
<td>Hallow block concrete 34.4%</td>
<td>Cement 56.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aluminium sheet/tile 98%</td>
<td>Stones 0.3%</td>
<td>Wood 0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others 0.7%</td>
<td>Plank 39.8%</td>
<td>Others 0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wood 12.8%</td>
<td>Earth 41.8%</td>
<td>Others 1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brick of earth 4.3%</td>
<td>Others bricks 6.8%</td>
<td>Others 1.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. External roofing
2. Ventilated air space
3. Internal roofing
4. External opening
5. Internal opening
6. External wall
7. Internal wall
8. Soil
9. Internal floor
10. Internal temperature of air
11. Mean radiation temperature

#### 3.3. Simulation of the thermal behaviour of housing sample

According to I.N.S. & ECAM II’s classification [1], three types of housing have been retained for the simulation, through the building materials of walls. They are grouped as follows:

- **Type 1**: roof in aluminium sheet, wall in wood plank, floor in cement.
- **Type 2**: roof in aluminium sheet, wall in hollow concrete block, floor in cement.
- **Type 3**: roof in aluminium sheet, wall in clay brick, floor in cement.

The thermal behaviour of the three types of house was studied. Some data necessary for the simulation are common to all the houses, and are known as "fixed data", while some are particular to each house and are called "variable data".

#### 3.3.1. Fixed data

The geometrical dimensions of the house sample such as: the wall length, width and height are fixed throughout all simulations. These data allow the computation of some of the thermal conductance and capacitance which do not vary during the simulation process.

#### 3.3.2. Variable data

Variable data are the material thickness and thermo-physical properties of building materials (thermal conductivity, density, specific heat) which may change according to the materials chosen for the simulations [6–9].

The materials studied were concrete blocks wall, clay brick wall and wood plank wall.

#### 4. Results of the simulation

**4.1. Diagrams: evolution of the temperature in function of time in the model house (Figs. 2–5)**

The simulation consisted in solving Eq. (13) by using the “MATLAB” software [9]. It also enabled the determination of the resulting
dry bulb temperature of the house which is an essential criteria of comfort for the different types of house. The following results were found:

4.1.1. Wall in wood plank

Fig. 2 presents the evolution of the temperature in various nodes of the habitat in wood plank.

The simulation of housing in wood plank shows the following results: the roof shows the highest temperature, 37.21 °C at 1 p.m., the maximum indoor air temperature is 31.7 °C at 3 p.m., and the dry resultant temperature is 30.8 °C at 1 p.m.

4.1.2. Wall of hollow block concrete + cement mortar

Fig. 3 presents the evolution of the temperature in various nodes of the habitat in hollow concrete block + cement mortar.

In this figure, the highest temperature is 37.08 °C at 1 p.m., on the roof, the maximum temperature of the air inside is 29.68 °C at 2 p.m. and the dry resultant temperature is 29.45 °C at 4 p.m.

4.1.3. Housing in brick of earth with cement mortar

Fig. 4 presents the evolution of the temperature in various nodes of the habitat in brick of earth with cement mortar.

The results of this simulation show a maximum temperature at roof level of 37.01 °C at 1 p.m. The maximum temperature of the air indoor housing is 28.36 °C at 3 p.m. and the maximum dry resultant temperature is 28.14 °C at 3 p.m.

4.1.4. Analyses of the results

It can be noticed that in Figs. 2–4, the contribution of the roof to warming the ambiance, is the most important, followed by that
of openings, and finally the walls. More over, the wood plank walls are very sensible to solar radiation and hence present the highest temperature during the peak hours of the day.

4.2. Evolution of the dry temperature

The thermal comfort in a house depends mainly on the thermal behaviour of its envelope subjected to the climatic constraints. The essential factor of the comfort is the resultant dry bulb temperature (RDBT) which takes into account the air temperature, the air speeds and the radiation. Fig. 5 presents the evolution of dry resultant temperature of the three habitat types.

This figure shows that the maximum resultant dry temperature of housing in plank is higher than that of housing in hallow concrete blocks, and also higher than that of housing of brick earth.

It comes out of this work that the resulting dry bulb temperatures for the three simulations vary with its envelope type. Therefore, only the temperature obtained in a type 3 house is within the acceptable and tolerable thermal comfort zone in humid tropical climate.

5. Improvement of thermal comfort in a model house

In order to come out with a comfortable model of house, three criteria will enable us to eliminate the less adapted type of houses (thermal inertia, shading and air renewal).

5.1. Thermal inertia

Assuming the evolution of the temperature is periodic of period $T$, the temperature of the internal wall at a point of abscissa $x$ will be dephased [10]:

$$\theta(x) = \mu(x) \theta_0 \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{T} (t - \phi(x)) \right)$$

where the depreciation

$$\mu(x) = \exp \left[ - \left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi \alpha \mu}{2}} \times \frac{x}{T} \right) \right]$$

And the dephasing

$$\phi(x) = \frac{T}{2} \times \left( \sqrt{\frac{\alpha \mu}{T}} \times \frac{x}{2} \right)$$

The calculation of the depreciation and the lagging for the three types of wall materials used in this study with the thickness often found in the construction in Cameroon (walls in wood plank, wall in hallow concrete block, wall in clay brick) is summarized in Table 3. These results explain why houses with wood plank walls, due to their poor thermal capacitance, insures a better comfort in the morning (between 0 h and 9 h) and the evening (between 19 h and 24 h), than those in clay brick wall and in hallow concrete block. Similar results are found by Hallmuller et al. [11], Arizona [12] and INSQ [13].

5.2. Shading

Shading may be obtained by bringing vegetation around the house to reduce the surface of the house exposed to the solar flux. Vegetation should not be an obstacle to natural ventilation of the house. By covering the non-painted walls or those having dark colour by white paint, additional protection is obtained. After simulation, and taking in consideration this parameter, the following results were obtained: 30.48° C for type 1; 29.19° C for type 2; 28.00° C for type 3. With the data chosen, the RDBT is reduced by an average of 0.5° C, but only two temperatures are found in the acceptable and tolerable thermal comfort zone ($T_{op}$ ≤ 29.4° C) in humid tropical climate. Shading used is not an effective action to obtain optimal comfort temperature for type 1 and type 2, because it reduces only the solar losses through the walls and the openings and has no impact on the solar flux received by the roof. The reduction of temperature in the house is confirmed by other authors [14–16] which provides a reduction of the ambient temperature of 1–2° C and a reduction of solar flux received on the building from 20 to 60%, due to the vegetation.

5.3. Air renewal

Orientation of the openings of the house in the direction of the dominant wind (South-West) which had an average velocity of 2.05 m/s in Douala. The ratio of air renewal, noted $D$, varied between 20 and 30 volumes per hours [17]. The consideration of this parameter in the simulation gave the following RDBT values: 30.35° C for type 1; 29.45° C for type 2; 28.02° C for type 3. The temperature of type 3 is situated in the optimal thermal comfort zone in humid tropical climate. Permanent air renewal contributes effectively to the reduction of the temperature from 0.1° C to 0.4° C, but also acts on another relevant variable of comfort: hygrometry. The excess of hygrometry is in general a discomfort source and can produce condensation on the sides of the houses when its temperature is near the dew point ($T_{op} = 28.1°$ C; Hygrometry HR = 80%). Ventilation evacuates the excess of hygrometry and contributes to the hygrometric equilibrium of the environment. The importance of this factor is also presented in others works [18]. This important factor for comfort is valid but is not practically taken in consideration in the urban maps of the constructions of towns in Cameroon.

6. Results and discussion

The analysis of thermal comfort and the action on parameters (inertia, shading and ventilation) for the different model houses as described in the previous section allowed to reduce the RDBT. Its value ($T_{RDBT}$) may be compared to the optimal thermal comfort temperature recommended in humid tropical climate ($T_{op}$ = 28.1° C) [1]. It is described in Table 4.

This table shows that with actions on the 3 variables (inertia, airflow renewal and shading) housing of type 2 and type 3 may comply with the comfort criteria listed in Section 2.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building materials</th>
<th>Thickness (cm)</th>
<th>Depreciation (%)</th>
<th>Lagging (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wood plank (type 1)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallow concrete block (type 2)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay brick (type 3)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4

Thermal efficiency of the house after action.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of housing</th>
<th>Plank</th>
<th>Hallow concrete block</th>
<th>Brick of earth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature: $T_{RDBT}$ (°C)</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>29.19</td>
<td>28.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature difference</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>–0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the town of Douala model house of type 3 with the following characteristics: floor in cement, wall in clay brick, roof in aluminium sheet, ceiling in ply-wood, and vegetation around the house is the better model for sustainable housing. Unfortunately, this type of housing represents only 4.3% of the houses in the littoral province. This is due to the sandy nature of Douala which makes the manufacture of local clay brick difficult. The temperature of the type 2 model house is comprised into the tolerable and acceptable thermal comfort zone in humid tropical climate and represents 34.4% of construction in the littoral province against 39.8% for type 1 which temperature is not in the recommended thermal comfort zone during peak hours of the day. Now, the synthesis figure (Fig. 5) shows that houses of type 2 and type 3 are more comfortable between 12 a.m. and 12 p.m. and between 7 p.m. and 12 a.m. where the maximal temperature of the internal environment is 28.5 °C. They are uncomfortable between 12.p.m. and 7 p.m. which corresponds to the time when the houses are not occupied. To enable the majority of household to live in the thermal comfort, an intermediary solution may be adopted between the house made in hollow concrete block (type 2) for its comfort and its stability, and the house made in wood plank (type 1) for its comfort; it is a question of building the wall with concrete block and wood plank. The simulation of a model with a mixed wall (hallow concrete block and wood plank) allowed to have simulate an intermediary comfort temperature of 29.35 °C in a proportion of 1/3 in hallow concrete block and 2/3 in wood plank during the peak hours of the day. The results obtained in this work are similar to those found by many other authors in this domain [11–16,18].

7. Conclusion

The aim of this work was to determine, in the context of the Douala (Cameroon) housing, which would be the most sustainable option to insure thermal comfort with existing building materials.

A simulation model built, which allowed to deduce that three quarters of households made with provisional materials in the town of Douala were likely to bring thermal discomfort and for another in the tolerable thermal comfort zone.

After optimization of the thermal comfort of the house sample, it comes out from the study that the most sustainable house model for the town of Douala (dry resulting temperature \( T_{\text{avg}} = 28.3 \) °C) during the hours of occupation of the house (0–9 a.m. and 7 p.m. to 12 a.m.) has the following characteristics:

- floor in cement;
- mixed wall (hollow concrete block and wood plank) painted in white;
- roof in aluminium sheet, ceiling in ply-wood with ventilated air space between the roof and the ceiling;
- vegetation around the house;
- orientation of openings in the direction of prevailing wind.

An experimentation validation should be done in order to validate this model.

The choice of a relevant house model may solve the problem of thermal comfort in many of houssings in the towns of a hot and humid climate. It may also contribute to the fight against poverty by the use of local building materials, and the reduction of electrical energy consumption.
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